(2) The northern boundary commences from Prasanna Venkataramanaswami Temple and passes along the eastern boundaries of Re-survey Nos. 52 and 43 and northern boundary of Re-survey Nos. 40-17 and 26 of Kelagote village and 37-38, 34, 55 and 56 of Madehalli village and thence passes along the northern boundary of Re-survey Nos. 20, 19, 18, 17, 22 and 28 of Kurubarahatti village and Re-survey No. 5 of Garehatti or Cholagatta.

(3) The western boundary commences from Re-survey No. 5 of Garehatti and passes along Re-survey Nos. 4, 3 and 2 of the Garehatti village and 11, 9 and 8 of Agasanakal village. Thence it passes along the Fort Wall round the hill by the side of Nerlagundi Plantation.

(4) The southern boundary begins from the west end of Thimmanaikanakere Tank bund (upon which the demarcation line of the State Forest lies) and runs along the foot-path from tank kodi leading to Lalkote Bagal and thence to Jogimatti road passing along the western boundary of Re-survey No. 100, and then finally joins the eastern boundary in the Challakere feeder road.

By Order,
B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments.

HASSAN DISTRICT.

Notification dated Hassan, the 9th September 1924.

Under para 21 of the election rules issued with G. O. No. 959—L. B. 6-18-2, dated 13th July 1918, it is hereby declared that Mr. Kenchalli Nanjappa, has been elected a member of the Hethur Village Panchayet in the Manjarabad Taluk during the present term of its constitution, *vice* Mr. Thabatahalli Basappa, resigned.

Notification dated 9th September 1924.

Under Section 51 of the Mysore Local Boards and Village Panchayet Regulation and the Election Rules issued thereunder the following gentlemen are declared elected as Chairmen of the Village Panchayet noted against each during the present term of their constitution:—

		Channarayapatna Taluk.	
(1)	Mr. Chowde Gowda, Patel of Hirisave village ...	Hirisave Village	Panchayet
(2)	,, Shanbhog Venkata Ramanaiya of Jambur ...	Jambur	do
(3)	,, Puttusami Gowda of Anekere ...	Anekere	do
(4)	,, N. Narnaiengar of Nuggihalli ...	Nuggihalli	do
(5)	,, Ramaiya of Dindagur ...	Dindagur	do
(6)	,, Masti Gowda of Bagur ...	Bagur	do

A. V. RAMANATHAN,
Deputy Commissioner.

MYSORE CITY MUNICIPALITY.

EXTRACTS FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 6TH (ADJOURNED) MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE, HELD IN THE NEW MUNICIPAL OFFICE ON WEDNESDAY THE 6TH AUGUST 1924 AT 8 A.M.

Members.

Present ... 17 | Absent ... 13

36. Letter No. 12, dated 7th July 1924, from the Chairman, City Improvement Trust Board, Mysore, forwarding for treatment under Section 16 (*a*) of the Mysore City Improvement Regulation, a copy of Notification No. 1541, dated 18th June 1924, regarding the acquisition of certain properties in Mandy Mohalla for the improvement of the Mandy Market.

Resolution.—Approved.

37. Appointment of a watchman for the Appavo Chetty's Karkhana buildings belonging to the Municipality.

Resolution.—The appointment of a watchman on a pay not exceeding ten rupees a month for a period of six months is sanctioned. He should watch also the timbers stocked near the railway premises and prevent the clandestine removal thereof without payment of octroi duty.

38. Managing Committee's Resolution No. VII, dated 24th June 1924, in the matter of vacancy remission claimed by Mr. M. L. Vardhamaniah in respect of his shop in Dodpet.

Mr. E. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy states that as the party has failed to give notice of the vacancy to the Council, the subject should not be brought before the Council for consideration.

It is pointed out by the President that under Sub-Section 2 of Section 69 of the Municipal Regulation, the Council has got the discretion of reinitting the tax though no notice is given by the party of the building remaining vacant.

Resolution.—Deferred.

39. Petition dated 14th July 1924, from Mr. B. Siddappa, contractor, regarding the water tap fixed to the eastern wall of his house in the Chikka Angadi Beedi, Krishnaraja Mohalla.

The application does not find support from any of the Councillors.

40. Proposition from Messrs. M. Madappa and Srikanta Char, Municipal Councillors, regarding the transfer of Hakim Mahammed Gows Sab's Unani Dispensary from Ittigegud to Mundy Mohalla.

Mr. T. S. Siddappa proposes an amendment that the grant to Hakim Mahammed Gows Sab be reduced by Rs. 10 in case he is allowed to have his dispensary at Mundy Mohalla instead of at Ittigegud.

Mr. D. Banumiah seconds the amendment. The amendment is put to the vote, 2 for and 12 against. Lost.

Resolution.—The original proposition, that the Hakim be allowed to have his Dispensary in Mandy Mohalla on the grant he is at present getting, is carried.

44. Estimate for Rs. 1,750 for repairing Yelwal road.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

45. Estimate for Rs. 625 for repairing Kalamma temple road.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

46. Payment of leave allowance to S. Venkatagiriachar for a period of one month and seven days he was on leave on medical certificate in 1923.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

48. Estimate for Rs. 1,250 for repairs of Dodpet road.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

49. Estimate for Rs. 725 for repairs of Mandi Market road from Kalamma temple road to Dodpet road.

Resolution.—Sanctioned.

EXTRACTS FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE 5TH (ORDINARY) MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE, HELD IN THE NEW MUNICIPAL OFFICE ON WEDNESDAY THE 30TH JULY 1924, AT 5 P.M.

MEMBERS.

Present	.. 20	Absent	.. 10

22. Proceedings of the meetings held on the 28th June and 5th, 7th, 14th and 19th July 1924.

With reference to Resolution No. I of the meeting held on the 7th July 1924, about the case of Mr. Krishna Rao, Daroga, Mr. E. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy states (i) that he made a statement opposing Rev. E. S. Edwards' motion and discussing the merits of the case and no mention of this is made in the Proceedings;

(ii) that poll was asked to be taken by him also but his name is omitted; and

(iii) that in the motion finally made by Rev. E. S. Edwards the words "be compulsorily retired" has been substituted for "dismissed."

As regards point (i), it is explained by the President that it is not possible to enter in detail in the proceedings all that each Councillor states nor are such details required to be entered.

As regards point (ii), the omission is an oversight and his name will be included along with that of Mr. P. S. Anantha Rao.

As regards point (iii), Mr. S. P. Rajagopalachari explains that the motion finally made by Rev. E. S. Edwards and put to the vote, is the one which is recorded, and that it is correct.

It is agreed by the Councillors that the motion finally made is the one that is recorded and no modification is required.

Resolution.—The proceedings are confirmed with the modification that the name of Mr. E. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy be added to that of Mr. P. S. Anantha Rao in Resolution No. I of the meeting on the 7th July 1924.

29. Municipal Council's Resolution No. 4, dated 26th April 1924, in the matter of raising duty on sugar from two to four annas a maund, with the managing Committee's Resolution thereon.

Managing Committee's Resolution No. I, dated 27th May 1924.—"Whenever a change has once been sanctioned, the restoration of the original rule or bye-law also requires the sanction of Government, for all changes whatever they may be, also have to come under the same category.

No refunds can be claimed on articles or materials sold to whomsoever it be, whether resident in Mysore or of other taluks inasmuch as the transaction has taken place here. According to rules, only the actual owner who removes without breaking bulk for actual disposal in other places by himself, is entitled to refunds. Hence the failure to enforce rules has been the cause of serious loss of revenue to the Council."

Proposed by Mr. E. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy that the rules in force in 1915 which provided for the levy of duty at 4 annas a maund and for refund to importer on the quantity exported, be given effect to.

Seconded by Lokasevaniratha Mr. Amble Anniah Pandit.

Dharmaprakasa Mr. D. Banumiah suggests an amendment to the above proposition that duty be recovered at two annas a maund on all sugar imported without any refund being given.

Mr. U. R. Lingoji Rao seconds the amendment.

Two for, and 8 against, the amendment is lost.

Original proposition 10 for, none against, carried.

30. Managing Committee's Resolution dated 27th May 1924, regarding the collection of Octroi duty on kerosine oil sold to to the Mysore Railways by Messrs. Best & Co., and V. D. Rajaram & Co., at their godowns in the Railway Station Yard.

Managing Committee's Resolution.—"It is a matter for great regret that room should have been afforded for such excuse by firms. The rules are clear on the matter. The importers or receivers are the parties that should pay the Octroi duty. The sales take place here the moment the firms or their agents dispose of the oil. It is immaterial whether the local consumers take them or others for different places. The wrong procedure indicated in the reference requires to be stopped at once and for ever."

Mr. S. P. Rajagopalachar states that the importers are Messrs. Best & Co. and that they should keep accounts and pay duty on the quantity imported.

Mr. Mahomed Sait supports the present practice according to which the agents are permitted to store the stock within the Railway premises and duty is recovered at the Railway Ookad from the purchasers from the Agents, at the time the oil is taken into the City. He says that many practical difficulties will crop up if it is decided to levy duty from Messrs. Best & Co., or their agents, instead of from purchasers from that firm, and adds that what the firm should be held liable for is only the payment of duty on petrol which it sells outside the Railway premises, and sometimes within also.

Resolution.—Deferred. Information shall be obtained as to how the duty is levied in the Bangalore City.

31. Managing Committee's Resolution No. II, dated 27th May 1924, regarding the duty on betel leaves.

Managing Committee's Resolution.—"As the revenue of the gardens in which such leaves are cultivated goes to the provincial revenues belonging as they do to the taluk authorities, the argument that they are within Municipal limits does not hold good. The levy of the Octroi duty is not unreasonable and so may be continued. With greater care and interest, the fall in this resource could be prevented and it is hoped that better results will be shown in future."

It is pointed out by the President that duty cannot be levied on betel leaves grown in gardens within the City and that it is only on leaves *imported* from outside that it can be levied.

32. Managing Committee's Resolution No. XXIII, dated 20th May 1924, in the matter of exempting from payment of taxes, the building set apart for the use of Veerasaiva Student's Hostel by Mr. E. S. Channabasappa.

Managing Committee's Resolution.—"That is a question involving a principle and so may be placed before the Council for decision."

Resolution.—Deferred. Precedents may be collected.

33. Managing Committee's Resolution dated 27th May 1924, *re* installing four ornamental lamps in front of Devaraj Market at a cost of Rs. 200 a year.

Resolution.—The installation of four ornamental lamps is sanctioned.

34. Tenders for improving the fruit stalls by roofing over the central passage in the Devaraj Market.

Resolution.—The tender of Koppal Boriah which is the lowest is accepted.

35. Sub-Committee's Report regarding the appointment of Manager, Collector and Octroi Daroga.

Sub-Committee's Report.—"*Manager.*—The scale of pay of the Manager may be fixed at Rs. 70—5—90; and a loan of the services of a good hand well versed in accounts may be obtained from the Comptroller's Office or other Government Department.

Daroga.—The scale of pay of the Daroga may be reduced to Rs. 40—5—60. He is to have no out-door work and is to remain at the Head Katte and be always available to persons bringing dutiable articles to the Katte, so that they may not be made to wait but sent away promptly, the duty payable being recovered.

Collector.—The scale of pay of the Collector be fixed at Rs. 70—10—100 plus a conveyance allowance of Rs. 25. He is to exercise supervision over both Octroi and Mohatarfa collection since the Daroga ceases to have any out-door work."

According to the terms of the Council Resolution, the question referred to the Committee is the appointment of a Manager but as the office of the Daroga has fallen vacant, the members of the Committee have considered the revision of the scale of pay of the Collector and of the Daroga and have chosen to make recommendations. They further wish to suggest that Mr. Hussain Ali be appointed Collector on the revised scale of pay suggested by them and that Siddappa in charge of the Daroga's office be permanently appointed as Daroga on the revised scale of pay."

Manager.—Mr. P. S. Anantha Rao moves that the pay of the Manager be fixed at Rs. 70—10—100 and that the loan of the services of a competent hand well versed in accounts be obtained from the Government.

Lokasevaniratha Mr. Amble Anniah Pandit seconds the proposition.

Mr. E. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy objects to the motion and says that under Section 35 (4), the decision of the Committee is only to be recorded.

The President points out that the section referred to, has no application to his contention and that it provides only for recording the decision of the Committee in a minute book.

Mr. M. L. Vasudeviah proposes an amendment to the above proposition that 5 be substituted for 10.

Mr. N. Setwaji Rao seconds the amendment. The amendment is put to the vote, 4 for, 8 against. Lost.

Mr. P. S. Anantha Rao's proposition is put to vote.

Ten for, 1 against.

Carried.

Daroga and Collector.—Mr. P. S. Anantha Rao states that the question of the appointments of the Daroga and the Collector was not referred to the Committee and that therefore the proposals of the Committee regarding these appointments cannot be considered now but should form the subject of a general revision.

Mr. E. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy states that there can be no objection to the motion being considered.

It is ruled that there is no objection to the motion being considered by the Council as it has come before it.

Mr. E. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy states that under the present system the Daroga may collude with the Ookad Manegars and defraud the Municipality by getting smaller quantities entered in the transit passes than the quantities actually imported and in other ways, and that to prevent such fraud and collusion, it is desirable to invest the Collector with powers of supervision over the collection of Octroi as well.

In reply to an observation made by the President that the Collector may not be able to cope with the work and effectively supervise the collection of both Octroi and Mohatarfa, etc., Mr. Mahomed Sait states that he can well cope with the work of both the branches, that in the Bangalore City there is a Collector appointed to exercise supervision over all collection work, both Octroi and Mohatarfa, and that a similar arrangement may be adopted in the Mysore City also. He further supports Mr. E. Purushothama Anandagiri Goswamy in his views that to prevent fraud in the Municipality it is desirable to invest the Collector with powers of supervision over the collection of Octroi also.

Mr. P. S. Anatha Rao states that the Collector's work is not satisfactory, that there are heavy arrears in the collection branch, that D. C. B. accounts are not prepared and that there is loss of revenue in the tax on vehicles and animals. He adds that it is necessary to have two hands as at present, one Daroga in charge of the Octroi branch and the other Collector in charge of the collection branch, both being given good remuneration.

He suggests the following amendment to the proposal made by the Committee.—That there be two hands Daroga and Collector as at present, one in charge of Octroi and another in charge of Mohatarfa and other taxes, and that each be given Rs. 70-10-100 with an allowance of Rs. 20 and that a Head Accountant on Rs. 40 be also employed to remain in the Head Katte always so that importers bringing dutiable articles to it, may not have to wait for the Daroga but promptly pay the duty to him and take away their articles.

Mr. D. Banumiah seconds the amendment.

The amendment is put to the vote and lost, 4 for and 8 against.

The original proposition of the Committee, *viz.*, "that the scale of pay of the Daroga be reduced to Rs. 40-5-60 and that of the Collector be fixed at Rs. 70-10-100 plus a conveyance allowance of Rs. 25," is put to the vote and carried, 7 for and 3 against.

Adjourned to Saturday the 2nd proximo at 5 P.M.

Notice dated 9th September 1924.

Notice is hereby given to the inhabitants of the Municipality of the Mysore City that the City Municipal Council, Mysore, desire to amend as hereunder the amended schedule of taxes (reproduced below) sanctioned in Government Order No. L. 1418—Ml. 55-21-27, dated 8th September 1921.

Any inhabitant of the Municipality objecting to the proposed amendment, may within one month from the date of publication of this notice in the *Mysore Gazette*, send his objections in writing to the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore.

Amended schedule of taxes now in force			Amended as now proposed		
5. Octroi	The articles mentioned in the next column when imported into Municipal limits.	(4) Sugar Maund 0-2-0.	5. Octroi	The articles mentioned in the next column when imported into Municipal limits.	(4) Sugar Maund 0-4-0.

Notice dated 9th September 1924.

Notice is hereby given to the inhabitants of the Municipality of the Mysore City that the City Municipal Council, Mysore, desire to amend as hereunder the amended Rule No. 24 of the octroi bye-laws (reproduced below) sanctioned in Government Order No. L. 1418—Ml. 55-21-27, dated 8th September 1921.

Any inhabitant of the Municipality objecting to the proposed amendment may within one month from the date of publication of this notice in the *Mysore Gazette*, send his objections in writing to the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore.

Amended Rule No. 24 of the Octroi bye-laws		Proposed amendment—Rule 24 of the Octroi bye-laws	
On all articles on which octroi duty has been paid and which are subsequently exported by the original importer himself beyond the Municipal limits without breaking bulk, refunds shall, subject to the following rules, be granted at the rates originally charged at the time of import:—		On all articles on which octroi duty has been paid and which are subsequently exported by the original importer himself beyond the Municipal limits without breaking bulk, refunds shall, subject to the following rules, be granted at the rates originally charged at the time of import:—	
i. On timber imported and re-exported in log.	Within six months from the date on which octroi was levied.	i. On timber imported and re-exported in log.	Within six months from the date on which octroi was levied.
ii. On piece-goods	Within three months from the date on which octroi was levied.	ii. On piece-goods	Within three months from the date on which octroi was levied.
iii. All other articles	Within one month from the date on which octroi was levied.	iii. All other articles.	Within one month from the date on which octroi was levied.
Provided that no refund shall be granted, 1. On sugar and kerosene oil. 2. Of any sum less than one rupee.		Provided that no refund shall be granted, 1. On kerosene oil. 2. Of any sum less than one rupee.	

K. MYLAR RAO,
President,
City Municipal Council, Mysore.